



Vishwakarma, B. D., Bates, P. D., Sneeuw, N., Westaway, R. M., & Bamber, J. L. (2021). Re-assessing global water storage trends from GRACE time series. *Environmental Research Letters*, 16(3), [034005]. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/abd4a9>

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

License (if available):
CC BY

Link to published version (if available):
[10.1088/1748-9326/abd4a9](https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/abd4a9)

[Link to publication record in Explore Bristol Research](#)
PDF-document

This is the final published version of the article (version of record). It first appeared online via Institute of Physics at <https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1748-9326/abd4a9> . Please refer to any applicable terms of use of the publisher.

University of Bristol - Explore Bristol Research

General rights

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the reference above. Full terms of use are available:
<http://www.bristol.ac.uk/red/research-policy/pure/user-guides/ebr-terms/>

LETTER • OPEN ACCESS

Re-assessing global water storage trends from GRACE time series

To cite this article: B D Vishwakarma *et al* 2021 *Environ. Res. Lett.* **16** 034005

View the [article online](#) for updates and enhancements.

ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH
LETTERS

LETTER

Re-assessing global water storage trends from GRACE time series

OPEN ACCESS

RECEIVED
5 August 2020REVISED
26 November 2020ACCEPTED FOR PUBLICATION
17 December 2020PUBLISHED
11 February 2021

Original content from
this work may be used
under the terms of the
[Creative Commons
Attribution 4.0 licence](#).

Any further distribution
of this work must
maintain attribution to
the author(s) and the title
of the work, journal
citation and DOI.

B D Vishwakarma¹ , P Bates¹, N Sneeuw², R M Westaway¹ and J L Bamber¹ ¹ School of Geographical Sciences, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK² Institute of Geodesy, University of Stuttgart, Stuttgart, GermanyE-mail: bd.vishwakarma@bristol.ac.uk**Keywords:** GRACE, linear trends, spatiotemporal variabilitySupplementary material for this article is available [online](#)**Abstract**

Monitoring changes in freshwater availability is critical for human society and sustainable economic development. To identify regions experiencing secular change in their water resources, many studies compute linear trends in the total water storage (TWS) anomaly derived from the Gravity Recovery and Climate Experiment (GRACE) mission data. Such analyses suggest that several major water systems are under stress (Rodell *et al* 2009 *Nature* **460** 999–1002; Long *et al* 2013 *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **40** 3395–401; Richey *et al* 2015 *Water Resour. Res.* **51** 5217–38; Voss *et al* 2013 *Water Resour. Res.* **49** 904–14; Famiglietti 2014 *Nat. Clim. Change*. **4** 945–8; Rodell *et al* 2018 *Nature* **557** 651–9). TWS varies in space and time due to low frequency natural variability, anthropogenic intervention, and climate-change (Hamlington *et al* 2017 *Sci. Rep.* **7** 995; Nerem *et al* 2018 *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci.*). Therefore, linear trends from a short time series can only be interpreted in a meaningful way after accounting for natural spatiotemporal variability in TWS (Paolo *et al* 2015 *Science* **348** 327–31; Edward 2012 *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **39** L01702). In this study, we first show that GRACE TWS trends from a short time series cannot determine conclusively if an observed change is unprecedented or severe. To address this limitation, we develop a novel metric, trend to variability ratio (TVR), that assesses the severity of TWS trends observed by GRACE from 2003 to 2015 relative to the multi-decadal climate-driven variability. We demonstrate that the TVR combined with the trend provides a more informative and complete assessment of water storage change. We show that similar trends imply markedly different severity of TWS change, depending on location. Currently more than 3.2 billion people are living in regions facing severe water storage depletion w.r.t. past decades. Furthermore, nearly 36% of hydrological catchments losing water in the last decade have suffered from unprecedented loss. Inferences from this study can better inform water resource management.

1. Introduction

The total amount of rainfall received by a river system and its spatial variability depends on the climate zone(s) through which it flows [1]. Precipitation also has a temporal variability dominated by an annual cycle that moves approximately 6000 ± 1400 Gt of water every year between land and oceans [2]. On multi-annual timescales this water movement is primarily driven by ocean-atmosphere interactions characterized by climate indices such as the El Niño-Southern Oscillation, North Atlantic

Oscillations or Atlantic Multidecadal Variability [3–5]. Interannual variability, operating at sub- to multi-decadal timescales, is responsible for unusual precipitation that can lead to floods and droughts. Since precipitation is related to water storage change via the water budget equation, total water storage (TWS) exhibits similar sub- to multi-decadal temporal variability [5–7]. TWS is defined as the sum of water stored near the surface of the Earth in the form of soil moisture, snow water equivalent, surface water, canopy water storage, frozen reservoirs and groundwater aquifers [8, 9].

Understanding the cause and effect of spatiotemporal variability in TWS is essential to our assessment of global water security. It is understood that changes in TWS are driven primarily by natural variability and human exploitation of water resources, and are also evolving due to anthropogenic climate change [4]. Given natural variability and human intervention, the rate of depletion in TWS sometimes exceeds the rate of replenishment and vice-versa. However, if the rate of depletion exceeds the rate of natural replenishment for significantly longer than the characteristic timescale of natural variability, then the water body is likely to be under stress due to external secular forcing.

The amount of publicly-shared *in situ* hydrological information is limited and often decreasing due to political and financial pressures [8, 10], making it a challenge to monitor global water-systems efficiently. A partial solution to this problem has been provided by recent developments in satellite remote sensing. In particular, the launch of the Gravity Recovery and Climate Experiment (GRACE) satellite mission in 2002 allowed global measurement of the TWS anomaly for the first time [11–13]. GRACE data have since been used to identify and understand the dynamics of the hydrosphere, including groundwater depletion, shrinking glaciers and ocean mass change [11, 12]. Typically, the TWS time series from several years of GRACE data is decomposed into a seasonal signal and a linear trend computed using least-squares regression. The magnitude of any negative trend is then used as a measure of the severity of water loss to identify and rank regions in order of water storage stress [8, 10, 14]. There are two issues with this approach:

- (a) The GRACE satellite mission provides a relatively short time series of approximately 17 years, which, depending on the region and the time-period, can be dominated by either natural variability, human intervention or anthropogenic climate change [15, 16]. Without knowledge of the amplitude and the characteristic timescales of individual sources of variability, it is impossible to determine the driver, and consequently the significance, of GRACE-derived trends.
- (b) In general, each region has a distinct natural interannual variability, of sub- to multi-decadal time scale, which means the same negative trend cannot be used to infer the same degree of TWS stress. In other words, the magnitude of the TWS trend alone is not a measure of its severity.

These issues are illustrated in figure 1, where we plot natural variability in TWS from a calibrated land surface model (LSM) and select three different time-periods (10, 7 and 13 years, respectively) in the latter


half of the 20th century to infer a linear trend, while the trend for the complete time series from the calibrated LSM is negligible in each case. We can see that the natural variability for different catchments is different and even large trends from short time series (such as obtained from GRACE) might be driven by natural variability for some catchments. More details on the data and processing strategy used to generate figure 1—which is only for illustrative purposes—can be found in the supplementary information. To this end, we can conclude that a comprehensive assessment of the severity of TWS trends cannot be obtained from GRACE observations alone, and additional information on multi-decadal natural variability is needed. In this study we address this issue and develop a new metric that when used along trends can help us infer the severity of TWS change.

2. Trend to variability ratio

Determining when a trend exceeds the expected internal variability is a fundamental problem in many disciplines. Similar issues have been identified by studies concerning the emergence of climate change signal in Earth system model simulations where it is easier to separate internal variability by changing the initial condition and forcing [17, 18, 40]. For example, in the context of ocean heat content, a warming trend is only detectable from a time series longer than 27 years, while trends from shorter time series are likely dominated and contaminated by natural variability [19]. By normalizing the trend against the standard deviation of the internal variability, we can assess when the trend emerges above the system's natural variability. In this study we apply this basic idea to study the severity of TWS change by normalising TWS trends and devising a dimensionless metric to help quantify their magnitude relative to natural variability. We use the ratio between the total change, obtained by multiplying the overall TWS trend by the length of the time series, and 1σ of natural interannual variability. We call this metric the trend to variability ratio (TVR), written as

$$\text{TVR} = \frac{t \cdot n}{\sigma}.$$

In the context of TWS, the numerator represents the TWS change in n years with a trend t given in mm yr^{-1} , the denominator σ is the standard deviation (in mm) of the multi-decadal natural interannual variability. A TVR between $+2$ and -2 means that the TWS change is within the 90th percentile (5–95th percentile for a Gaussian distribution) expected range due to multi-decadal natural variability and the trend is not exceptional. If the TVR is between -2 and -3 or $+2$ and $+3$, the region is experiencing an extreme event albeit one that it has likely experienced in the past and lies within approximately 98% credible range. However, if the TVR exceeds ± 3 , the TWS




change can be considered exceptional and unlikely to have been experienced in the recent past. Therefore, TVR along with trends can help us assess the severity of ongoing TWS change in the context of previous decades, which is imperative for developing policy for countering likely water-stress in the near future. Time of emergence studies focus on estimating when the secular signal will surpass the natural variability, while we are focusing on understanding the severity of trends from short GRACE time series in context of past TWS natural variability. Therefore, TVR is not a statistical significance metric like R^2 , Spearman's rho test, Mann–Kendall test, or Innovative trend analysis method [20], but a tool to put the trend from a short observation time series in the context of past natural variability.

3. Natural TWS variability

A key challenge of this approach is to obtain an estimate of natural interannual variability of the TWS anomaly from a multi-decadal time series that adequately captures long-term TWS behaviour. One



option is to use output from global numerical models that simulate multi-decadal time series of various components of TWS. These global hydrology models can be categorized into land surface-hydrology models (LSMs), such as the Global Land Data Assimilation System Noah, and global hydrological and water resource models (WGHHRMs), such as the WaterGap Hydrological Model and PCR-GLOBWB. LSMs exclude natural groundwater cycles and are poorly constrained in data-sparse regions, resulting in large uncertainties that vary in space and time [21], while WGHHRMs include groundwater storage and estimates of human abstractions making it hard to use model estimates as a representative of natural variability alone. Furthermore, it has been shown that both types of global hydrological models tend to underestimate trends in TWS [21]. Therefore, outputs from these state-of-the-art are not the best representation of natural inter-annual variability. For this reason, we use a novel statistical model output, GRACE-REC, that has been shown to capture climate driven TWS interannual variations with good accuracy [22]. GRACE-REC time series have been shown



to perform better than the state-of-the-art models when tested against independent evaluation datasets such as the sea level budget, large-scale water balance from atmospheric reanalysis, and *in situ* streamflow measurements.

GRACE-REC is generated by a statistical model that uses meteorological data, such as precipitation and surface temperature, as input and is trained by GRACE observations to reconstruct past TWS anomalies. The model is calibrated using GRACE time series but with the linear trend and seasonal signal removed, which helps eliminate anthropogenic signals and emphasise inter-annual variability to a large extent [22]. The model efficacy has been shown

to be of poor quality for a few grid cells (such as central Asia, North Africa) [22], but at catchment scale the efficacy would be better. The model output is available from 1901 to mid-2019, providing a long time series. The statistical model has six variants that arise from choice of using training data from two GRACE processing centers and three meteorological forcing time series. Thus GRACE-REC provides six reconstructions of interannual TWS time series and each reconstruction has 100 ensemble members. Since some meteorological forcing datasets such as MSWEP and ERA5 are only available from 1979 onwards, four GRACE-REC reconstructions start from 1979. We thus compute the mean from all



100 ensemble members of six GRACE-REC reconstructions from 1979 to 2019, providing us with a robust estimate of climate-driven interannual TWS over the last four decades. We note that the efficacy of GRACE-REC is low in some areas, such as Highland of Tibet, Saudi Arabia, and Northern Sahara [22]. Therefore, we have not computed TVR over these regions. Nevertheless, for readers interested in these regions, we provide an additional TVR plot

in supplementary information figure S1 (available online at stacks.iop.org/ERL/16/034005/mmedia).

4. GRACE time series

The TWS time series from GRACE is decomposed using Seasonal and Trend decomposition using LOESS to obtain an annual and interannual signal [23]. The climate-driven interannual time series from

Table 1. List of selected catchments with (a) TVR ≤ -3 or (b) TVR $> +3$, sorted by TVR. Catchments with small TWS trends (TWS trend < -5 or TWS trend $> +5$, respectively) but with high TVR (i.e. those unlikely to be identified as having severe water loss or water abundance using TWS trend alone) are italicized.


Catchment name	Trends (mm yr ⁻¹)	TVR (dimensionless)
(a)		
Caspian Sea	-20.4 ± 1	-15.7 ± 0.8
Brahmaputra	-14 ± 1.7	-13.3 ± 1.6
Zagros (Iran)	-22.6 ± 3.9	-11.1 ± 1.9
Copper River	-60.7 ± 2.6	-8.8 ± 0.4
Kura	-18.7 ± 1.8	-8.8 ± 0.9
Yukon River	-12.2 ± 1.2	-8 ± 0.8
Thelon River	-8.6 ± 1.5	-7.1 ± 1.2
South Iran	-8.9 ± 1.6	-6.9 ± 1.3
Churchill	-9 ± 1.9	-6.1 ± 1.3
Colorado (Argentina)	-18.9 ± 2.3	-5.7 ± 0.7
Kuskokwim River	-13.8 ± 2.2	-5.7 ± 0.9
Negro (Argentina)	-13.8 ± 2.5	-5.1 ± 0.9
Luan He	-8.4 ± 1.6	-4.6 ± 0.9
Huai He	-13.7 ± 4.7	-4.3 ± 1.4
Ganges	-12.1 ± 2.5	-4.2 ± 0.9
Indus	-7.6 ± 1.9	-4.1 ± 1
arun	-16.3 ± 3	-3.9 ± 0.7
Salado	-13.7 ± 3.3	-3.7 ± 0.9
Colorado River (Caribbean)	-11.9 ± 2.6	-3.7 ± 0.8
Irrawaddy	-8.3 ± 2.4	-3.7 ± 1.1
Argentina	-14.3 ± 3.2	-3.6 ± 0.8
Fraser River	-10.6 ± 2.4	-3.3 ± 0.7
Brazos River	-12.3 ± 2.9	-3.2 ± 0.7
Tigris	-18 ± 3.9	-3.4 ± 0.7
Don	-12.5 ± 1.7	-3 ± 0.4
Euphrates	-11 ± 2.1	-3.7 ± 0.7
(b)		
Niger	5 ± 1.1	6.8 ± 1.5
Saguenay	7.9 ± 2.1	5.7 ± 1.5
Nottaway	7.8 ± 2.1	5.2 ± 1.4
Nelson River	11.9 ± 1.6	4.7 ± 0.6
Volta	11.1 ± 2.2	4.6 ± 0.9
Okavango	12.4 ± 2.3	4.4 ± 0.8
St.Lawrence	8.5 ± 1.5	3.5 ± 0.6
Moose River	7.5 ± 2	3.3 ± 0.9
Comoe	9.3 ± 2.2	3.2 ± 0.8

GRACE-REC, referred to from here on as the normal TWS variability, is assumed to be free from a direct human intervention signal. Figure 2(b) shows the standard deviation of the normal TWS variability for 3° grid cells, and figure 3(b) shows the same metric by river catchment. It is evident that normal TWS variability varies markedly from one catchment to another, by as much as a factor 10. The interannual signal consists of long wavelength signal (year to year variations including the trend signal). Therefore, trend over a given period is obtained from a linear fit to the interannual signal. Please note that GRACE trends can be assumed to represent TWS change when other signals, such as tectonics and GIA

in glaciated regions, have been accurately taken care of. Hence, TWS trends might be affected by residual tectonic effects and GIA signal in glaciated regions and by post-seismic signals in regions affected by large Earthquakes. Therefore, reader's discretion is required. Furthermore, GRACE products are known to have a coarse spatial resolution that is approximately 3° [24], thus they are more accurate when used for catchment-scale analysis. It has been shown that the accuracy of GRACE time series improves as the catchment area increases [25]. Therefore, we analyse GRACE products at two spatial scales: at a global 3° grid-scale and at a catchment-scale. We study 160 catchments that are larger than the minimum recommended area of approximately 65 000 km² [25]. The catchment-scale results are important for understanding the health of river systems, while grid-scale results help identify spatial variability within catchments and other regions.

5. Results

We subsequently calculate and plot TVR at both the grid-scale (figure 2(c)) and catchment-scale (figure 4). Figure 4 also serves to illustrate the concept. The uncertainty in TVR is shown in supplementary figure S2. The TVR plots inform us about the severity of the GRACE trend signal with respect to the last four decades of hydrological variability. Looking at the TWS trend maps (figure 2(a) or figure 3(a)) alongside the corresponding TVR map (figure 2(c) or figure 4), we can see that Alaska, the Caspian Sea, Northern India, Argentina, Chile, the Eastern Amazon, the High Plains Aquifer and California are hotspots of TWS loss. The drivers for the trends in these regions have been investigated and discussed previously [8, 10, 12, 14, 26–29]: glaciers are losing mass in Alaska; the Caspian Sea is losing water at an unprecedented rate; Northern India, California and the High Plains Aquifer are experiencing unprecedented groundwater abstraction; and Argentina, Chile and the Eastern Amazon all suffered from drought in the last decade. Introducing the TVR alongside the TWS trend additionally reveals that Iran, North-East China, Kazakhstan, South-East Asia, and several catchments in Southern Africa are also experiencing abnormal TWS loss. Furthermore, the TVR also helps us to assess the relative stress between two catchments in a quantitative manner. In supplementary table 1 we list all the catchments with a negative TWS trend in order of decreasing magnitude and their respective TVR. This rank order changes considerably if we choose TVR as the metric for water storage stress instead of the TWS trend value. This is further demonstrated in table 1 and figure 5 where we have plotted the relationship between the ranked order of the catchments when sorted by trend versus when



sorted by TVR. If TVR offers no additional information, the points would have a 1:1 relationship and fall on the diagonal; yet as figure 5 shows there are substantial deviations. Taking an arbitrary threshold of ± 20 in rank change, we have highlighted those regions and catchments that would be categorised significantly different when using TVR instead of trend magnitude. Blue dots in the figure represent less severe TWS change compared to that perceived by trend magnitude while red dot represent more severe TWS change. For example, the Colorado River in Argentina and the Jequitinhonha River catchment in Brazil both have a strong negative TWS trend of around -18.8 mm yr^{-1} , but the TVR of the Colorado River basin (-5.7) suggests a severe and exceptional water loss and is much higher than that of the Jequitinhonha basin (-1.6). This finding is supported by research discussing the major drought event between 2010 and 2015 in the central Andes in Argentina [30], with no similar events reported for the GRACE period in the Jequitinhonha River catchment.

On a continental scale, the TVR metric indicates that there is more water stress in Asia than would be inferred from TWS trends alone [8, 10]. For example, the Tigris River basin in Iraq has a TWS trend of

-18 mm yr^{-1} and a TVR of -3.4 , which suggests an exceptional water loss in the region due to recent droughts and anthropogenic water exploitation in the middle-East [31, 32]. River catchments in Iran have a negative TWS trend and large TVR value (the Zagros, South Iran, and Karun River catchments with TWS trends of -22.6 , -8.9 , -16.3 mm yr^{-1} and a TVR of -11.1 , -6.9 , and -3.9 , respectively), suggesting severe TWS loss, which is supported by research that reports that Iran lost water at a rate of $25 \pm 3 \text{ Gt yr}^{-1}$ between 2003 and 2012, of which $14 \pm 3 \text{ Gt yr}^{-1}$ (56%) was found to be anthropogenic [31]. Similarly, the Brahmaputra has a negative TWS trend value (-14 mm yr^{-1}), lower than both the Tigris and Colorado, but its TVR (-13.3) suggests that it is experiencing an exceptional change, which is primarily driven by a steep and unprecedented decrease in rainfall over the last decade that has been attributed to anthropogenic climate change [10, 33]. The Yellow River in China has a small trend of -4.2 mm yr^{-1} but its TVR is -3.7 , while the Volga River in Russia has a trend of -5.1 mm yr^{-1} and a TVR of -2 . The Brazos, Ganges, and Yukon Rivers have a strong linear trend of approximately -12 mm yr^{-1} but a TVR of -3.2 , -4.2 , and -8.0 , respectively.

TVR normalizes the global TWS trend map with respect to the natural variability, which identify regions experiencing abnormal and severe TWS change irrespective of their TWS trend magnitude. For example, the TVR map shows that densely populated regions of the Indian subcontinent are experiencing exceptional TWS loss, which is supported by recent reports [34]. In 2018, a planning body of the Government of India reported that 600 million people in India are facing severe water stress and approximately 200 000 people die every year due to inadequate access to safe water [34]. It is projected that by 2030 the water demand in India will double owing to population rise and economic development [34]. Currently more than 1.4 billion people depend on water from the Indus, Ganges, Brahmaputra, Yangtze, and Yellow Rivers [35]. We find that all these rivers, except for the Yangtze, are experiencing abnormal TWS decline. We use population data provided by the Socioeconomic Data and Applications Center [36] and the global grid-scale TVR map to estimate that in 2015 more than 3.2 billion people worldwide ($\sim 43\%$ of the total global population) were living in regions experiencing severe decreasing TWS trends, i.e. with a TVR less than -3 . Therefore, strict and urgent measures are required to avoid a profound and pervasive water crisis in the future.

Conversely, several catchments have a strongly positive TVR. Anthropogenic climate change and direct human intervention (e.g. water impoundment) may be the reasons behind such a net positive change. For example, the Yangtze River has shown a strong positive TWS trend due to the filling up of the Three Gorges and other reservoirs [10, 37], which explains a TVR of $+2.2$ despite a weakly positive TWS trend of $+2.6 \text{ mm yr}^{-1}$. Similarly, the Zambezi (TVR = $+2.6$), Volta ($+4.6$), Okavango ($+4.4$) and Niger ($+4$) catchments in Africa, and the Amazon ($+2.4$) catchment in South America all exhibit an increase in TWS and a positive TVR, which can largely be explained by an intensifying water cycle due to anthropogenic climate change [4, 38, 39].

From these results, it is clear that many catchments with similar TWS trends have markedly different TVR values, including many river catchments that have a moderate negative TWS trends but that are revealed to be losing water at an exceptional rate after accounting for natural variability, and vice versa.

We conclude that using TVR provides a complementary and meaningful metric for assessing the severity of TWS trends. The GRACE mission, decommissioned in 2017, has provided unique insights into global TWS variability over the last 15 years. The successful launch of the GRACE-Follow-On mission in May 2018 offers the potential to continue the global observational record of TWS for another decade or more. This provides us with an opportunity to track global water storage stress and

inform water-management decisions and policy for sustainable development. Until GRACE observations provide longer time series, GRACE TWS trends alone cannot definitively evaluate the severity of TWS loss or gain, and the use of the TVR metric allows for a more informative interpretation of the trends. We further believe that the concept behind the TVR can benefit other disciplines that rely on signal detection and trend analysis from relatively short time series.

Data availability statement

The data that support the findings of this study are openly available at the following URLs: ftp://ftp.tugraz.at/outgoing/ITSG/GRACE/ITSG-Grace2016/monthly/monthly_n90, www.bafg.de/GRDC/EN/02s_rvcs/22gslrs/221MRB/riverbasinsnode.html, www.atmosp.physics.utoronto.ca/~peltier/data.php, <http://grace.jpl.nasa.gov> and https://figshare.com/articles/GRACE-REC_A_reconstruction_of_climate-driven_water_storage_changes_over_the_last_century/7670849.

Acknowledgments

BDV is supported by the Marie Skłodowska-Curie Individual Fellowship (MSCA-IF) under Grant Agreement 841407 (CLOSER). JB, PB and RW are supported by the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research + innovation program, under Grant Agreement No. 694188 (GlobalMass project). PB is also supported by a Royal Society Wolfson Research Merit Award. We would like to thank Professor Jonathan Rougier for his insightful comments and discussions.

Code availability

MATLAB scripts for obtaining data-driven leakage corrected GRACE TWS time series at catchment scale are freely available at www.gis.uni-stuttgart.de/en/research/downloads/. The MATLAB script to process GRACE-REC data, include GIA adjustments to GRACE time series, time series analyses and TVR computation will be made available by BDV upon reasonable request.

ORCID iDs

B D Vishwakarma  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4787-8470>

R M Westaway  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6102-1540>

J L Bamber  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2280-2819>

References

- [1] Koppen W 2011 The thermal zones of the earth according to the duration of hot, moderate and cold periods and to the impact of heat on the organic world *Meteorol. Z.* **20** 351–60
- [2] Reager J T, Gardner A S, Famiglietti J S, Wiese D N, Eicker A and Lo M H 2016 A decade of sea level rise slowed by climate-driven hydrology *Science* **351** 699–703
- [3] Nerem R S, Beckley B D, Fasullo J T, Hamlington B D, Masters D and Mitchum G T 2018 Climate-change driven accelerated sea-level rise detected in the altimeter era *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci.* **115** 2022–5
- [4] Eicker A, Forootan E, Springer A, Longuevergne L and Kusche J 2016 Does GRACE see the terrestrial water cycle ‘intensifying’? *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.* **121** 733–45
- [5] Latif M and Keenlyside N S 2011 A perspective on decadal climate variability and predictability *Deep Sea Res. II Top. Stud. Oceanogr.* **58** 1880–94
- [6] Hamlington B D, Reager J T, Lo M-H, Karnauskas K B and Leben R R 2017 Separating decadal global water cycle variability from sea level rise *Sci. Rep.* **7** 995
- [7] Beaudoin H and Rodell M 2015 GLDAS Noah Land Surface Model L4 monthly 1.0 × 1.0 degree V2.0 Website, Goddard Earth Sciences Data and Information Services Center (GES DISC)
- [8] Chen J, Famiglietti J S, Scanlon B R and Rodell M 2016 Groundwater storage changes: present status from GRACE observations *Surv. Geophys.* **37** 397–417
- [9] Lorenz C, Devaraju B, Tourian M J, Sneeuw N, Riegger J and Kunstmann H 2014 Large-scale runoff from landmasses: a global assessment of the closure of the hydrological and atmospheric water balances *J. Hydrometeorol.* **15** 2111–39
- [10] Rodell M, Famiglietti J S, Wiese D N, Reager J T, Beaudoin H K, Landerer F W and Lo M-H 2018 Emerging trends in global freshwater availability *Nature* **557** 651–9
- [11] Ramillien G, Famiglietti J S and Wahr J 2008 Detection of continental hydrology and glaciology signals from GRACE: a review *Surv. Geophys.* **29** 361–74
- [12] Wouters B, Bonin J A, Chambers D P, Riva R E M, Sasgen I and Wahr J 2014 GRACE, time-varying gravity, Earth system dynamics and climate change *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **77** 116801
- [13] Vishwakarma B D, Horwath M, Devaraju B, Groh A, Sneeuw N and Data-Driven A 2017 Approach for repairing the hydrological catchment signal damage due to filtering of GRACE products *Water Resour. Res.* **53** 9824–44
- [14] Famiglietti J S 2014 The global groundwater crisis *Nat. Clim. Change* **4** 945–8
- [15] Paolo F S, Fricker H A and Padman L 2015 Volume loss from Antarctic ice shelves is accelerating *Science* **348** 327–31
- [16] Wouters B, Bamber J L, van den Broeke R M, Lenaerts J T M and Sasgen I 2011 Limits in detecting acceleration of ice sheet mass loss due to climate variability *Nat. Geosci.* **6** 613
- [17] McKinnon K A and Deser C 2018 Internal variability and regional climate trends in an observational large ensemble *J. Clim.* **31** 6783–802
- [18] Deser C, Phillips A S, Simpson I R, Rosenbloom N, Coleman D, Lehner F, Pendergrass A G, DiNezio P and Stevenson S 2020 Isolating the evolving contributions of anthropogenic aerosols and greenhouse gases: a new CESM1 large ensemble community resource *J. Clim.* **33** 7835–58
- [19] Cheng L J, Trenberth K E, Fasullo J, Boyer T, Abraham J and Zhu J 2017 Improved estimates of ocean heat content from 1960 to 2015 *Sci. Adv.* **3** 3
- [20] Şen Z 2012 Innovative trend analysis methodology *J. Hydrol. Eng.* **17** 1042–6
- [21] Scanlon B R et al 2018 Global models underestimate large decadal declining and rising water storage trends relative to GRACE satellite data *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci.* **115** E1080–E9
- [22] Humphrey V and Gudmundsson L 2019 GRACE-REC: a reconstruction of climate-driven water storage changes over the last century *Earth Syst. Sci. Data* **11** 1153–70
- [23] Cleveland R, Cleveland W, McRae J and Terpenning I 1990 STL: a seasonal-trend decomposition procedure based on loess (with discussion) *J. Off. Stat.* **6** 3–73
- [24] Watkins M M, Wiese D N, Yuan D N, Boening C and Landerer F W 2015 Improved methods for observing Earth’s time variable mass distribution with GRACE using spherical cap mascons *J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth* **120** 2648–71
- [25] Vishwakarma B D, Devaraju B and Sneeuw N 2018 What is the spatial resolution of GRACE satellite products for hydrology? *Remote Sens.* **10** 6
- [26] Rodell M, Velicogna I and Famiglietti J S 2009 Satellite-based estimates of groundwater depletion in India *Nature* **460** 999–1002
- [27] Long D, Scanlon B R, Longuevergne L, Sun A Y, Fernando D N and Save H 2013 GRACE satellite monitoring of large depletion in water storage in response to the 2011 drought in Texas *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **40** 3395–401
- [28] Richey A S, Thomas B F, Lo M H, Reager J T, Famiglietti J S, Voss K, Swenson S and Rodell M 2015 Quantifying renewable groundwater stress with GRACE *Water Resour. Res.* **51** 5217–38
- [29] Voss K A, Famiglietti J S, Lo M H, de Linage C, Rodell M and Swenson S C 2013 Groundwater depletion in the Middle East from GRACE with implications for transboundary water management in the Tigris-Euphrates-Western Iran region *Water Resour. Res.* **49** 904–14
- [30] Rivera J A, Penalba O C, Villalba R and Araneo D C 2017 Spatio-temporal patterns of the 2010–2015 extreme hydrological drought across the Central Andes, Argentina *Water-Sui* **9**
- [31] Joodaki G, Wahr J and Swenson S 2014 Estimating the human contribution to groundwater depletion in the Middle East, from GRACE data, land surface models, and well observations *Water Resour. Res.* **50** 2679–92
- [32] Forootan E, Rietbroek R, Kusche J, Sharifi M A, Awange J L, Schmidt M, Omondi P and Famiglietti J 2014 Separation of large scale water storage patterns over Iran using GRACE, altimetry and hydrological data *Remote Sens. Environ.* **140** 580–95
- [33] Deka R L, Mahanta C, Pathak H, Nath K K and Das S 2013 Trends and fluctuations of rainfall regime in the Brahmaputra and Barak basins of Assam, India *Theor. Appl. Climatol.* **114** 61–71
- [34] NITI, Aayog, team Composite water management index: a tool for water management Report. National Institution for Transforming India, Government of India June 2018
- [35] Immerzeel W W, van Beek L P H and Bierkens M F P 2010 Climate change will affect the Asian water towers *Science* **328** 1382–5
- [36] Gridded C I E S I N 2005 Population of the World, version 3 (GPWv3): population count grid, future estimates (Palisades, NY: NASA Socioeconomic Data and Applications Center (SEDAC))
- [37] Wang X, de Linage C, Famiglietti J and Zender C S 2011 Gravity Recovery and Climate Experiment (GRACE) detection of water storage changes in the Three Gorges Reservoir of China and comparison with *in situ* measurements *Water Resour. Res.* **47** W12502
- [38] Wang X Y, Li X C, Zhu J and Tanajura C A S 2018 The strengthening of Amazonian precipitation during the wet season driven by tropical sea surface temperature forcing *Environ. Res. Lett.* **13** 9
- [39] Hassan A and Jin S G 2016 Water storage changes and balances in Africa observed by GRACE and hydrologic models *Geod. Geodyn.* **7** 39–49
- [40] Edward H and S R 2012 Time of emergence of climate signals *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **39** L01702